

Choice and candidacy: On crime and politics

This article is related to General Studies-Paper-II (Governance)

The Hindu


15 Feb., 2020

"De-criminalisation of politics cannot be achieved by judicial fiat alone."

The idea of removing the taint of criminality from electoral politics has been engaging the country for decades. Yet, whatever progress made in this regard has been through the initiative of the Supreme Court and the Election Commission. Political parties which ought to be cleansing the system with legislation and internal organisational reforms have done precious little, and their reluctance to avoid fielding those with criminal antecedents is quite obvious.

The Court, in September 2018, sought to enforce greater disclo- **Under the** Close to 43% winners of the 2019 Lok Sabha polls have criminal cases against them, according sure norms about electoral candidates. On noting the "alarming increase" **scanner** of those with a criminal background in the last four general elections, the 40% top court has now come up with an additional requirement while hearing a contempt of court petition.

Now, parties have been asked to explain candidate choice and why those with criminal cases pending against them were preferred over those with no such record. The Court has asked national and regional parties to *rape, murder, kidnapping, crimes against women, etc



disclose the reason for their selection "with reference to qualifications, achievements and merit of the candidates concerned", and barred them from merely citing "winnability" as a reason.

In addition to full disclosure of the cases pending against them on their official websites and social media accounts, the parties are also required to publish these details in a local regional language paper and a national newspaper. This is a forward movement from the present situation in which the burden of disclosure is on candidates through mandatory affidavits filed along with their nomination papers.

The latest order is in line with a series of judgments aimed at preserving the purity of the election process: directions to ensure the asset disclosure and criminal records of candidates, the incorporation of the 'none of the above' option in the voting machine, and the invalidation of a clause that protected sitting legislators from immediate disqualification after conviction. In addition, the Court has directed the establishment of special courts in all States for the quick disposal of cases involving elected representatives.

However, it must be underscored that de-criminalisation of politics cannot be achieved by judicial fiat alone. The political class has to respond to the challenge. Parties would probably justify their choice of candidates by pointing out that the law now bars only those convicted and not those facing charges, however serious they may be. Besides, they are apt to dismiss all pending cases as "politically motivated".

A legislative option is to amend the law to bar from contest those against whom charges have been framed. A more meaningful option would be for parties to refrain from giving ticket to such candidates. Beyond this debate, a larger question looms: what good will more information on the background of candidates do, if voters back a particular leader or party without reference to the record of the candidates fielded?

Expected Questions (Prelims Exams)

- Q. With reference to the recent Supreme Court decision on criminalization of politics, consider the following statements:
 - 1. Political brokers will have to give detailed information about the pending criminal cases on their official websites both at the Central and State levels.
 - 2. Political brokers are required to submit a compliance report with the Election Commission within 48 hours.
 - 3. Due to the right to privacy, detailed information of the candidates will be uploaded only on the official website.

Which of the above statements is / are true?

- (a) 1 and 2
- (b) Only 2
- (c) 2 and 3
- (d) Only 3

Note: Answer of Prelims Expected Question given on 14 Feb., is 1 (c)

Expected Questions (Mains Exams)

'The new decision of the Supreme Court appears historic in the midst of many attempts to stop the criminalization of politics.' Analyze all aspects of this decision.

(250 words)

Note: - The question of the main examination given for practice is designed keeping in mind the upcoming UPSC main examination. Therefore, to get an answer to this question, you can take the help of this source as well as other sources related to this topic.

